Dear Harold: Sept. 13, 1970

Thanks for the last 4 letters. It was good to talk with you on the phone the other night. I have felt a bit out of touch with things since my personal problems have piled up. The clipping you sent on Craig, the black independent who is opposing Humphrey, was a good one. He is a first rate guy and with more money could win. Everyone likes and trusts him, from the left to much of the right, once they meet and hear him.

Glad to see that you wrote Minyard. He's a good man and would profit from the info. We have already discussed, on the phone, my attitude towards Sprague, publication, etc. for which you take me to task in your letter of 9/2 so I won't belabor it. Again, since the mag. was going to publish anyway, I would have hoped to have you publishing stuff of your own which you selected rather than have Dick selecting stolen info, and then becoming center of publicity. As usual, we end up publicly being known by those doing the least work. I have also supplied all the detail I know on the Sprague-Flammonde thing. You know how I have felt about Bud for some time and what I think of his files and who uses them. And I worry also about the possible hurt to innocents. Sorry that I can't take over some of this burden. I will pursue more inquiries and write Bud and ask some general questions. But, bear in mind that I am not, nor have I ever been, a member of Bud's committee. I told Bud that I was sending the money to you and supporting your work rather than his committee some time ago--I refused to send any dues. In public I specify that I know the committee and am friends with many of its members and am an associate of it, but not a member. So I can't demand much of Bud. Bud is the most unlawyer-like lawyer that I have ever met.

The therapy is helping me sort things out. Thanks for the concern. Working as a therapist puts one under strains which are difficult to even explain to those outside the field and requires that one be more in touch with ones own feelings and hangups than is just required by everyday life. That plus some other personal pressures and a screwed up relationship with Marion have made me decide to make both the financial and personal investment. Thus far it is helping a lot. All good therapists I know have done the same, and many bad ones, coincidentally, have not. It is hard to discuss such things in letters or even in brief phone conversations, but I appreciate your concern. Part of my inconsistency in talking about it may reflect some of my own confusions, but also the difficulty in explaining it in a few words.

I hope in the next few days to be able to get to work on my critique of Paul's paper. We are breaking in a new psychologist at the clinic and once he is working OK the work load will be reasonable, but last week I worked about 60 hours.

We have no disagreement on Bud or Flammonde et. al. as per your letter of 9/9.

Mentioning me in your letter to Sylvia probably did little good given our last phone conversation. Anyone even writing to Garrison or dealing with him in anyway is evil, and to suggest that the Shaw trial should not be prejudged really enraged her. I doubt if she trusts my judgment on anything. But I'm afraid that the letter went over my head--in other words, I didn't understand the main purpose in writing it. I can guess but am not sure I'm right. Can you clear this up briefly. Your letter to me of 9/7 made it clear, now that I read it, although if you can give one or two specifics I would appreciate it.

The Bud thing is a travesty. I feel he has used you and that there can now be no doubt about it. I have felt this would happen from the beginning and have not be quiet about it. I can't begin to understand or explain his behavior and it's pointless to lament the fact that it is a great likelihood that the 5 or 10 people who have done the most to sabotage our efforts ## are not government agents or enemies in disguise, but people attracted to our cause for reasons other than our own. And, like you and Mary, I am profoundly worried about those innocents who may be hurt in the process. Let s face it, as I tell every audience to whom I speak, the govt. agencies left behind unfinished files which, as they stand, are incredibly incriminating--or at least suggestive of wrongdoing. There is a Castorr in every archives file.

Enclosed is a copy of my last letter to Paul. I am working on a copy of that sketch of the King assassination site. In the near future I will be sending you my full file on Billy Hargis and the Christian Crusade, save for the private letters to me of which you have copies if they are important. Keep these files and examine them if you care to. I am running out of space and just am not putting them to use as you might. They may contain something of use to you I have missed. In any event, their highest potential will be in your hou#se. I collect too much stuff I have no time to study, as do we all.

Best wishes to you and Lil.

Gary